

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP02/06137

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

Int.Cl⁷ A61K48/00, C12N15/63, 15/87, C12M1/18, C12Q1/68

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

Int.Cl⁷ A61K48/00, C12N15/00-15/90

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

MEDLINE (STN), CAPLUS (STN), EMBASE (STN), BIOSIS (STN), BIOTECHABS (STN),
JICST FILE (JOIS)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
P, X	HONMA, Kimi et al., Atelocollagen-Based Gene Transfer in Cells Allows High-Throughput Screening of Gene Functions, Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 21 December, 2001 (21.12.01), Vol.289, No.5, pages 1075 to 1081	1-17, 20, 21
P, X	Takahiro OCHITANI, "Bio Material no Heiyo ni yoru Idenshi Chiryoho no Kaihatsu", Gene & Medicine, 10 February, 2002 (10.02.02), Vol.6, No.1, pages 21 to 25	1-17, 20, 21
A	WO 01/34206 A2 (Cmic Co., Ltd.), 17 May, 2001 (17.05.01), & JP 2001-199903 A & EP 1229941 A2 & AU 200113034 A & BR 200015419 A	1-17, 20, 21

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. ☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"&" document member of the same patent family
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search
01 October, 2002 (01.10.02)Date of mailing of the international search report
29 October, 2002 (29.10.02)Name and mailing address of the ISA/
Japanese Patent Office

Authorized officer

Facsimile No.

Telephone No.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/JP02/06137

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	EP 870839 A1 (Takara Shuzo Co., Ltd.), 14 October, 1998 (14.10.98), & WO 97/18318 A1 & AU 9675058 A & AU 709831 B & CN 1207774 A & MX 9803706 A1 & KR 99067446 A & US 6426042 B1	1-17, 20, 21
A	EP 844004 A1 (Koken Co., Ltd.), 27 May, 1998 (27.05.98), & WO 97/02047 A1 & AU 9662436 A & AU 704694 B & JP 9-71542 A & CN 1193916 A & KR 99028522 A & NZ 311175 A	1-17, 20, 21

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

JP02/06137

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☒ Claims Nos.: 18, 19

because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:

In the methods as set forth in claims 18 and 19, no specific distinction is presented between *in vivo* and *in vitro*. Namely, these methods involve embodiments *in vivo* and, therefore, pertain to methods for treatment of the human or animal body by therapy.

2. ☐ Claims Nos.:

because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:

3. ☐ Claims Nos.:

because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.

☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.